## Comprehension of complex sentences with temporal connectives: How children are led down the event-semantic kindergarten-path

## Petra Schulz & Christos Makrodimitris

Goethe University Frankfurt

P.Schulz@em.uni-frankfurt.de, makrodimitris@em.uni-frankfurt.de

The sequence of sentences frequently reflects the order of events encoded by the clauses, resulting in iconicity (Diessel 2008), which may be overtly marked by lexical cues (e.g., *after* in (1a), *before* in (1b)). We can sidestep iconicity, however, by using temporal connectives non-iconically ((1b) for *after*, (1a) for *before*).

- (1) a. After/before he ate an apple, he read a letter.
  - b. He read a letter, <u>after/before</u> he ate an apple.

Extending previous research on children's comprehension of sentences with before and after (e.g., Clark 1971, de Ruiter et al. 2018), we tested 60 monolingual Greekspeaking children (aged 6–11) with a sentence-picture matching task manipulating Iconicity (iconic/non-iconic) and Conjunction (before/after), see (1). A GLMM with Iconicity and Conjunction as fixed effects revealed a main effect of Iconicity and an interaction of Iconicity\*Conjunction. Tukey-adjusted pairwise comparisons showed differences between after iconic and after noniconic (p=0.002) and between before noniconic and after noniconic (p<0.001). This pattern suggests that violation of iconicity negatively affected comprehension of after but not of before. We propose that this asymmetry regarding iconicity can be accounted for by an event-semantic kindergarten-path effect: in languages with clause-initial connectives like English or Greek, non-iconic after-sentences (1b) are more difficult than their iconic variant (1a), because the sentence-medial connective forces the listener to integrate a subordinate event into the—already processed main clause event and to revise the initial event order. Non-iconic before-sentences (1a) are not harder than their iconic variant, because sentence-initial before serves as an early cue of the non-iconic order, so no reanalysis of the event-representation is needed. The event-semantic kindergarten-path effect predicts that children should master non-iconic before earlier than non-iconic after. This was borne out in our results; 23 children had mastered non-iconic before but not non-iconic after, whereas no child had mastered non-iconic after but not non-iconic before. If our proposal applies to comprehension more generally, adults are expected to show an event-semantic kindergarten-path under the right conditions in reading.

References: • Clark, E. V. (1971). On the acquisition of the meaning of *before* and *after. J. of Verbal Learng. a. Verbal Beh., 10*, 266–275. • De Ruiter, L. E., Theakston, A. L., Brandt, S., & Lieven, E. V. M. (2018). Iconicity affects children's comprehension of complex sentences: The role of semantics, clause order, input and individual differences. *Cognition.* 171, 202–224. • Diessel, H. (2008). Iconicity of sequence: A corpus-based analysis of the positioning of temporal adverbial clauses in English. *Cognitive Linguistics*, 19(3), 465–490.